MEETING OF LICENSING COMMITTEE

Members present: Councillor Armitage (Chairperson); Aldermen L. Patterson and Sandford; and Councillors Boyle, Bunting, Clarke, Collins, Copeland, Groves, Heading, Hutchinson, Magennis, McConville, McDonough-Brown and Milne.

In attendance: Mr. S. Hewitt, Building Control Manager; Mrs. L. McGovern, Solicitor; and Mr. H. Downey, Democratic Services Officer.

Apologies

Apologies for inability to attend were reported on behalf of the Deputy Lord Mayor (Councillor Campbell), Aldermen McCoubrey and Spence and Councillors Bell and Dudgeon.

Minutes

The minutes of the meeting of 15th February were taken as read and signed as correct. It was reported that those minutes had been adopted by the Council at its meeting on 1st March, subject to the omission of those matters in respect of which the Council had delegated its powers to the Committee.

Declarations of Interest

No declarations of interest were reported.

THE COMMITTEE DEALT WITH THE FOLLOWING ITEMS IN PURSUANCE OF THE POWERS DELEGATED TO IT UNDER STANDING ORDER 37(d)

Licences/Permits Issued Under Delegated Authority

The Committee noted a list of licences and permits which had been issued under the Council’s Scheme of Delegation.

Application for Extended Hours - Cathedral Quarter Arts Festival, Custom House Square

The Building Control Manager informed the Committee that Custom House Square was managed currently by the Department for Communities and that it held both a Seven-Day Annual Outdoor Entertainments Licence and a Seven-Day Annual Marquee Entertainments Licence, which were transferred to promoters for the duration
of their events. Each licence permitted entertainment to take place from Monday to Sunday between the hours of 11:30 a.m. and 11.00 p.m. and had conditions attached in relation to occupancy levels, early consultation with residents and businesses, extended hours and addressing complaints.

He reminded the Committee that it had, in the past, granted approval for a number of events and festivals to operate beyond 11.00 p.m., which had included the Cathedral Quarter Arts Festival, which had been permitted to provide entertainment till midnight on a number of nights.

He reported that this year’s festival would take place from Thursday, 27th April till Sunday, 7th May in a number of arts and cultural venues across the City and would include again a marquee in Custom House Square. The organisers had sought the Committee’s approval to operate there till midnight on three nights, namely, Friday, 28th April, Saturday, 29th April and Saturday, 6th May, on the basis that the additional hour would enable local support acts to be added to the event programme and would help them to raise their profile by supporting a headline festival act.

The Building Control Manager pointed out that, since the application related to the extension of the hours permitted under an existing licensing condition, rather than the variation of the Entertainments Licence itself, there had been no requirement for it to be advertised. In terms of statutory consultees, neither the Northern Ireland Fire and Rescue Service nor the Police Service of Northern Ireland had offered objections and they each would be working closely with the organisers, the Council and other organisations to address any issues in the lead up to the festival.

He informed the Members that the organisers were liaising closely with the Building Control Service to ensure that appropriate measures would be implemented to protect public safety and reduce the potential for noise disturbance and nuisance. Officers were assessing a draft letter to be issued by the organisers to local residents advising them of the programme of events and the nights on which they planned to operate beyond 11.00 p.m. He added that inspections in previous years had identified no issues surrounding the overall management of the festival.

The Committee agreed, in its capacity as Licensing Authority, that the standard hours on the Seven-Day Annual Marquee Entertainments Licence for Custom House Square be extended to enable entertainment to take place till midnight on Friday, 28th April, Saturday, 29th April and Saturday, 6th May, as part of the Cathedral Quarter Arts Festival, subject to all technical requirements being met to the satisfaction of Council officers.

Application to Extend a Provisional Amusement Permit - Mavericks, 28 Bradbury Place

The Committee was reminded that, at its meeting on 19th March, 2014, it had approved an application from the Belfast Leisure Company Limited for the provisional grant of an Amusement Permit in respect of Mavericks, 28 Bradbury Place. The Building Control Manager explained that the company had, at that stage, applied for the permit to be granted provisionally to enable it to undertake works to the premises prior to them
being opened. However, since the Committee’s decision had been subject to an application for judicial review by an objector to the application, the company had been reluctant to commence that work until such time as the outcome of that case had been determined. Accordingly, the Committee, at its meeting on 16th March, 2016, had agreed, at the company’s request, to extend the provisional permit till 19th March, 2017, on the basis that it had been satisfied that there were reasonable grounds for its failure to complete the work within the two-year timeframe, as laid down within the Amusement Permit legislation.

He reminded the Committee that, on 13th January, the application for leave to apply for judicial review of its decision to provisionally grant the Amusement Permit for Mavericks had been refused. The Belfast Leisure Company Limited had, subsequently, indicated that it would be unable to complete the work to its premises by the deadline date of 19th March and had requested that the provisional permit be extended until September, 2017. He confirmed that, should the Committee approve that request, an Amusement Permit would be issued, once Council officers had confirmed that all work had been completed in accordance with the Northern Ireland Building Regulations.

The Committee agreed that the Amusement Permit which had been granted provisionally for Mavericks, 28 Bradbury Place be extended till 19th September, 2017.

Application for the Renewal of a Seven-Day Annual Entertainments Licence - The Devenish Complex, 33-37 Finaghy Road North

The Committee was reminded that, under the provisions of the Local Government Miscellaneous Provisions (Northern Ireland) Order 1985, it was required, when considering an application for the grant, renewal or transfer of an Entertainments Licence, to have regard to any convictions of the applicant relating to an offence under the Order which had occurred within a five-year period immediately preceding the date on which the application had been made.

Accordingly, the Building Control Manager drew the Members’ attention to an application which had been received for the renewal of a Seven-Day Annual Indoor Entertainments Licences in respect of the Devenish Complex, 33 – 37 Finaghy Road North. He reported that Mooney Inns Limited, the applicant company, had, in June, 2016, been fined £600 and ordered to pay £69 in costs, following an inspection by Council officers of another premises under its control, namely, the Wolf and Whistle, whilst entertainment had been taking place. That inspection had found that a final exit door had been closed and screwed to the doorframe, a fire door had been wedged open, a fire door/wall had been providing inadequate fire protection, fire safety signage had not been illuminated, a designated means of escape had been obstructed and the premises’ log book for pre-event checks had not been completed.

He explained that, whilst this was the first time since the offence that the application for the Devenish Complex had been placed before the Committee, it had, at its meeting on 19th October, 2016, upon being informed of the aforementioned conviction, approved an application for the renewal of the Entertainments Licence for the Wolf and Whistle.
He informed the Members that, since the last renewal of the Entertainments Licence for the Devenish Complex, officers had visited the premises on three occasions and were content that all management procedures were being implemented effectively. He added that no written representations had been received in relation to the application and that the Northern Ireland Fire and Rescue Service and the Police Service of Northern Ireland had offered no objections.

The Committee agreed, in its capacity as Licensing Authority, to renew the Seven-Day Annual Indoor Entertainments Licence in respect of the Devenish Complex, 33 – 37 Finaghy Road North.

Application/Renewal of a Seven-Day Annual Entertainments Licence - Bootleggers, 46 Church Lane

The Building Control Manager reminded the Committee that, at its meeting on 18th January, it had considered a report in relation to current entertainments licensing enforcement procedures and the determination of applications, where an applicant had been convicted of an offence under the Local Government Miscellaneous Provisions (Northern Ireland) Order 1985. The Committee had agreed, as an additional deterrent, that, in future, as soon as a licence holder had been convicted of an offence, they would be invited to appear before the Committee to outline the reasons why their licence should not be revoked, rather than waiting until it was due for renewal, as had been the case previously.

With that in mind, he drew the Committee's attention to an inspection which had been undertaken in May, 2016 of the above-mentioned premises whilst entertainment had been taking place, which had revealed that the required minimum width of a rear escape route was not being maintained and that the appropriate pre-entertainment checks had not been carried out. As a result, Quay Street Merchants Limited, the licence holder, had on 21st February, been convicted of the offences and been fined £500 and ordered to pay £69 in costs.

He explained that, in addition to being requested to consider the potential revocation of the premises’ Entertainments Licence, the Committee was being requested to consider also an application for the renewal of the licence. He reported that no written representations had been received in relation to that application and that neither the Northern Ireland Fire and Rescue Service nor the Police Service of Northern Ireland had offered objections. Since the aforementioned offences had been detected, officers had met with the management of the premises and were satisfied that appropriate measures had been put in place to ensure the safe evacuation of patrons in the event of an emergency. Four subsequent inspections had revealed no further safety issues and that management procedures were being implemented effectively. He pointed out that a representative of the licensee was in attendance and that, once the Committee had heard his submission, it was required, in the first instance, to decide if it wished to revoke the venue’s Entertainments Licence. Should it decide not to adopt that course of action, it was then required to consider the application for the renewal of the licence.

The Chairperson then welcomed to the meeting Mr. M. McPherson, the manager of Bootleggers.
Mr. McPherson informed the Members that, as the manager of the premises, he accepted full responsibility for the offences which had been detected in May, 2016. He explained that, immediately afterwards, measures had been put in place to prevent a recurrence, which had included marking the rear escape route clearly with paint, moving refuse bins away from that area to provide additional space and advising staff of the need to keep escape routes clear at all times. In addition, two pre-entertainment checks were now carried out on those days when entertainment was due to take place.

The Chairperson thanked Mr. M. McPherson for attending the meeting.

After discussion, the Committee agreed, in its capacity as Licensing Authority, not to revoke the Entertainments Licence for Bootleggers, 46 Church Lane and, accordingly, approved the application for the renewal of the licence.

Application for the Grant of a Mobile Street Trading Licence

The Building Control Manager informed the Members that an application had been received from Mr. T. Seenan for the grant of a Mobile Street Trading Licence to trade in the Andersonstown, Dunmurry, Finaghy, Ladybrook, Poleglass, Suffolk, Turf Lodge and Twinbrook areas of the City. The applicant was seeking to sell confectionery, groceries, ice cream and non-alcoholic beverages from a van from Monday to Sunday between the hours of 12.00 p.m. and 9.00 p.m. in those areas.

He reminded the Committee that Section 9 of the Street Trading Act (Northern Ireland) 2001 permitted the Council, in certain circumstances, to refuse an application if the applicant had, at any time, had a Street Trading Licence revoked as a result of their refusal or failure to pay fees or other charges due to the Council. Accordingly, he drew the Committee's attention to the fact that, at its meeting on 18th June, 2014, it had agreed to revoke a Mobile Street Trading Licence which had been granted previously to Mr. Seenan for the sale of confectionary, ice cream and non-alcoholic beverages in the Andersonstown, Ladybrook, Turf Lodge and Suffolk areas. That decision had been taken on the grounds that he had owed the Council £843.87 in licence fee arrears, despite significant efforts being made by officers to manage his debt, and that he had, on two occasions, declined the opportunity to appear before the Committee to discuss the matter. He pointed out that, despite having since paid his arrears, Mr. Seenan was only now re-applying for a licence and that he had no licence infringements. He added that no other traders had been trading in the Ladybrook, Turf Lodge, Suffolk and Andersonstown areas since Mr. Seenan's licence had been revoked and that neither the Police Service of Northern Ireland nor Transport NI, as statutory consultees, had objected to his application.

The Committee was informed that the applicant was in attendance and it agreed that it would be beneficial to obtain details from him around the circumstances which had contributed to the revocation of his licence in 2014.

Mr. Seenan informed the Members that his failure in 2014 to pay the licence fees arrears owed to the Council could be attributed to the fact that both he and his mother had been experiencing health issues at that time. As soon as he had been made aware of the extent of the arrears, he had taken immediate steps and had cleared his debt in
full. He concluded by stating that his mother had since died and that he now wished to commence trading again.

The Chairperson thanked Mr. Seenan for his contribution.

The Committee agreed, in its capacity as Licensing Authority, to grant to Mr. T. Seenan a Mobile Street Trading Licence permitting him to sell from a van confectionery, ice cream, groceries and non-alcoholic beverages from Monday to Sunday between the hours of 12.00 p.m. and 9.00 p.m. in the Andersonstown, Dunmurry, Finaghy, Ladybrook, Poleglass, Suffolk, Turf Lodge and Twinbrook areas of the City.

Application for the Grant of a Seven-Day Annual Entertainments Licence - The Hawthorn Bar, 1-3 Hawthorn Street

The Building Control Manager submitted for the Committee’s consideration the following report:

“1.0 Purpose of Report/Summary of Main Issues

1.1 To consider an application for the Grant of a Seven-Day Annual Entertainments Licence for the Hawthorn Bar, based on the Council’s standard conditions to provide music, singing, dancing or any other entertainment of a like kind.

1.2 Premises and Location               Ref. No.               Applicant
Hawthorn Bar,                   WK/201602055          Mr Philip McCann,
1-3 Hawthorn Street,         Belfast,                   84 Glen Road,
Belfast,                       BT11 8BU                  Belfast,
BT12 7AQ

1.3 The grant application was received from Mr Philip McCann on 30th September 2016. A copy of the application form has been circulated to the Committee.

1.4 A location map of the premises has been forwarded to Members.

2.0 Recommendations

2.1 Taking into account the information presented and representations received in respect of the application you are required to make a decision to either:

1. approve the application for the Grant of the Seven-Day Annual Entertainments Licence, or

2. approve the application for the Grant with special conditions, or
3. refuse the application for the Grant of the 7-Day Annual Entertainments Licence.

2.2 If the application is refused, or special conditions are attached to the licence to which the applicant does not consent, then the applicant may appeal the Council’s decision within 21 days of notification of that decision to the County Court.

3.0 Main Report

Key Issues

3.1 Members are reminded that written representation objecting to the application was received outside the 28-day statutory period, in the form of a petition with 10 signatures.

3.2 At your meeting on 14th December, the Committee exercised its discretion and agreed to consider the late objections at a future meeting to which the objectors and the applicant would be invited to attend.

3.3 A copy of the petition of objection has been circulated to the Committee.

3.4 Members are reminded that the petition of objection is from residents of Cavendish Street and the nature of their objections relate to the following:

- the premises are situated in a highly populated residential area;
- the premises already offer live entertainment without a licence; and
- the number of patrons, music and taxis already create an unacceptable, problematic amount of noise in this residential area.

3.5 In their petition of objection, the objectors further advise that they have met with the applicant, one of his employees and community representatives to discuss the above issues along with other members of the community, the PSNI and the Council. They also state that many of the issues have not yet been resolved; despite promises made by the applicant.

3.6 The objectors also state that granting a licence will not only add to the current problems and issues concerned but will create further issues.
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3.7 Following receipt of the petition of objection, the Service offered to facilitate a liaison meeting between all parties involved in order to discuss the issues in an attempt to try and resolve them.

3.8 The objectors did not wish to attend joint meetings with the applicant and, therefore, officers convened separate meetings with the objectors and the applicant but were not able to reach a resolution.

3.9 As required by the Committee Protocol, the applicant and each of the objectors were requested to provide their representation in advance of the meeting for consideration.

3.10 This was requested to ensure that there was appropriate time to share the information between all parties and to allow officers to incorporate the points raised in the report for your consideration.

Objectors’ Representation

3.11 The objectors Representation Forms have been forwarded to Members and they have been provided to the applicant, as required by the protocol.

3.12 In general, the representations relate to concerns as follows:

- noise issues in a built up residential area coming from the provision of amplified music, sound of taxi horns and noisy patrons;
- the applicant disobeying the law in relation to both Liquor and Entertainment Licensing;
- antisocial behaviour in the alleyway including public urination and drinking;
- residents subject to verbal abuse and foul language from staff; and
- problems with vermin, rubbish and storage in the alleyway.

3.13 Further to providing the objectors with a copy of the applicants’ Representation Form, they each submitted a counter representation with additional supporting information.

3.14 In the counter representations, the objectors reiterate the issues previously raised and challenge the points made by
the applicant. They have also included photographic evidence to support their argument. Copies of the counter representations have been made available to the Committee.

3.15 Each of the objectors has stated that they are objecting on their own behalf and they are not canvassing for objections. However, they are prepared to coordinate a joint representation regarding the application and attendance at your meeting.

3.16 A delegation of three residents' representatives will be available to attend your meeting to discuss any matters relating to their objections should they arise during your meeting.

Applicant's Representation

3.17 The applicant has provided their Representation Form, as required by the Protocol, and a copy of his response has been circulated to the Committee. The applicant has highlighted the measures which have been undertaken to try and address residents' concerns.

3.18 The applicant’s Representation Form has also been provided to the objectors, as required by the protocol.

3.19 A summary of the applicant’s representation is as follows:

- he has worked very hard to build and promote good and sound relationships with the residents and will continue to do so;
- he has always made himself available to engage in discussions with the relevant agencies, in regards to any concerns or complaints raised by residents in relation to the operations within the bar;
- he has also attended meetings with the objectors and local representatives and groups, but with no success;
- he has always made himself and remains in contact with Sgt James Duffy and is content and committed to continue to work with the local PSNI in relation to the premises;
- he has not and does not intend to provide any form of amplified music, dancing or like kind within the premises;
- the premises do not lend itself to large numbers of people; so, the motivation to promote any sort of event is restricted due to the limited space;
- he states that the live music referred to by the objectors is in relation to the in-house CD music system;
• he has advised that, if the Committee is minded to grant an Entertainments Licence, he would only have non-amplified session musicians without the need or use of powered amps, thereby reducing the potential for any noise outbreak from within the premises; and
• the number of taxis called to the bar is not and cannot be down to the Hawthorn Bar.

3.20 Further to providing the applicant with a copy of the objectors Representation Form, the applicant has advised that he did not wish to submit a counter representation, as he was satisfied with what he has already submitted within his initial Representation Form.

3.21 However, the applicant has further advised that, if the Committee were of the mind to grant an Entertainments Licence, he would be willing to accept it for a shorter six-month period and allow the Committee to restrict the nights permitted for entertainments to only a Thursday, Friday and Saturday.

3.22 He has also advised officers that he will implement the following changes to help ease residents’ concerns:

• cleaning around the perimeter of the premises;
• ensure bottles and glasses are no longer permitted to be disposed of by staff at closing times; and
• furnishing residents with a direct contact number for the individual responsible for door staff.

3.23 As part of our ongoing negotiations with all parties, these suggestions from the applicant have been conveyed back to the objectors to try and reach an agreement. However, at the time of writing this report, the objectors have confirmed that they still wish to object to the premises getting any form of Entertainments Licence.

3.24 The applicant and/or their representatives will be available at your meeting to answer any queries you may have in relation to the application.

Details of the Premises

3.25 The area the applicant wishes to be licensed to provide entertainment is the:

• Ground floor bar with a maximum capacity of 40 persons.
3.26 The days and hours during which the applicant wishes to provide entertainment are:

- Monday to Saturday: 11.30 am to 1.00 am and
- Sunday: 12.30 pm to midnight

3.27 The applicant is also agreeable to the inclusion of the following special conditions to the Entertainments Licence:

1. the licensee to attend meetings, as and when required, with the PSNI and representative groups drawn from local residents, chaired by the Council, to discuss issues relating to entertainments licensing; and
2. no amplified music to be played on the premises.

**PSNI**

3.28 The PSNI has been consulted and has confirmed that it has no objection to the application.

3.29 However, due to the nature of the objections, a letter was sent to the PSNI consisting of a number of additional questions regarding the issues raised within the objector's representations. The PSNI has also been provided with a copy of the various representations made by the objectors and the applicant, in order to help them form their response.

3.30 The PSNI has advised that it has not received any complaints for the premises regarding public safety or overcrowding problems. However, it has stated that it has received complaints in recent years regarding noise or general nuisance, but they are more related to alleged breaches of licensing hours, selling and consuming alcohol outside of permitted hours and providing entertainment.

3.31 It has received a total of 23 calls for service in regards to licensing type offences since 2014. In 2014 there were 3 complaints, 2015 there were 9 complaints, 2016 a total of 9 complaints were made and this year, 2 complaints have been made.

3.32 Whilst the Police Service has cautioned the licensee for selling alcohol at 9.30 am on 30th October 2015, it has not objected to the grant application.

3.33 A copy of our letter with questions and the response from the PSNI has been circulate to Members. The PSNI response also consists of a breakdown of the calls and complaints lodged against the premises.
3.34 The Area Inspector and Constable from the Local Neighbourhood Policing Team will be available at your meeting to answer any queries you may have in relation to the application.

Health, Safety and Welfare Inspections

3.35 The Service became aware of residents’ concerns with the premises in 2014 when complaints were made through the Council’s Noise Hotline Service alleging that entertainment was being held on the premises and residents were being disturbed by noise from music and patrons in and around the premises.

3.36 Through the Licensed Premises Group (LPG), coordinated inspections to monitor the premises were carried out by the Council’s Noise Team. Throughout this time, officers of the Noise Team also responded to a number of complaints made by residents of the area.

3.37 As a result of the complaints, a number of planned During Performance Inspections were carried out at the premises. In summary, a total of 11 inspections were carried out by officers of the Service since October 2014.

3.38 3 monitoring inspections have been carried out on the premises by officers from the Service since the Entertainment Licensing application was received in September. No entertainment was witnessed during the course of these inspections.

3.39 At the time of writing this report, we have found no entertainment being provided at the premises but further monitoring inspections have been planned. A breakdown of these inspections carried out by officers is included in the tables of inspections carried out by the Council has been circulated to the Committee.

NIFRS

3.40 The Northern Ireland Fire Rescue Service has also been consulted in relation to the application and has confirmed that it has no objection to the application.

3.41 Allegations regarding the premises have also been forwarded to it for investigation and it has confirmed that it has observed no breaches in relation to fire safety. A copy of its correspondence has been forwarded to the Committee.
Noise Issues

3.42 As noted above, complaints have been made against the premises since 2014 and through the LPG, a considerable number of reactive and planned inspections have been carried out by officers of the Council’s Night Time Noise Team in that time.

3.43 A total of 10 noise complaints have been received since the application was made in September 2016. When responding to the complaints and attending the area, officers of the Noise Team did not witness any excessive noise levels that warranted action. However, as with all complaints received against the premises, each of these complaints were forwarded to the applicant for his information.

3.44 The applicant has been asked to provide an acoustic report to determine if any works need to be carried out to the premises to prevent noise breakout based on the type of entertainment proposed by the applicant.

3.45 The report will be assessed by the Environmental Protection Unit and, should Members be of a mind to grant a licence, any works identified in the report will be required to be carried out to the satisfaction of the Council prior to a Licence being issued.

3.46 Members are reminded that the Clean Neighbourhood and Environment Act 2011 gives council’s additional powers in relation to the control of entertainment noise after 11.00 pm.

3.47 A breakdown of the reactive and planned inspections carried out by the Night Time Noise Team is included in the tables of inspections carried out by the Council, which has been circulated to the Committee.

Cleansing

3.48 Issues regarding cleansing matters, which were raised within the objector’s representations, relating to the alleyway at the rear of the premises have been reported to the Council’s Cleansing Department.

3.49 Officers of the Cleansing Service have confirmed that they conduct regular checks of the rear alleyway at least once a week. At the time of writing this report, officers have confirmed that the staff are continuing to comply with their agreement.
Financial and Resource Implications

3.50 Officers carry out monitoring inspections on premises receiving complaints but this is catered for within existing budgets.

Equality and Good Relations Implications

3.51 There are no equality or good relations issues associated with this report.”

The Building Control Manager circulated for the Committee’s information a document setting out ten conditions which had been drafted by officers of the Building Control Service in the lead up to the meeting. The applicant had agreed to adhere to those conditions, should his application be approved and they had, subsequently, been approved by the objectors to the application. In summary, the applicant had accepted that an Entertainments Licence would be granted for a period of six months and would permit him to provide entertainment till 1.00 a.m. on a Thursday, Friday and Saturday night for up to a maximum of forty patrons within the ground floor bar. In addition, he would be obliged to attend meetings, when required, with representatives of the Council, the Police Service of Northern Ireland and the local community, to provide relevant contact details and to implement measures in relation to cleaning, bottle and glass disposal and noise minimisation.

The Committee was informed that Mr. P. McCann, the applicant, together with Mr J. McGuigan, his licensing consultant, were in attendance and they were welcomed by the Chairperson.

Mr. McGuigan informed the Committee that Mr. McCann had no issues with the ten conditions, as proposed, being attached to his Entertainments Licence, should it be granted, and that he would comply fully with those.

The Chairperson then welcomed Mr. T. McShane and Ms. R. Shannon, two objectors to the application.

Ms. Shannon informed the Members that she and Mr. McShane were acting on behalf of objectors to the application, all of whom lived in the streets surrounding the Hawthorn Bar. She explained that, following consultation with those objectors, a decision had been taken, in view of the significant stress which had been placed upon the local community throughout the application process, to withdraw their objection and to accept the proposed conditions, although it was regrettable that the issues which they had highlighted consistently in the past could not have been addressed at an earlier stage. Having held discussions with officers of the Building Control Service, the objectors had been reassured that, should the Entertainments Licence be granted with the ten conditions attached, it would form the basis for resolving those issues.

Mr. T. McShane then outlined the difficulties which had been faced by residents living around the Hawthorn Bar over the years and the efforts which they had made to
have them addressed, following which he and Ms. R. Shannon were thanked by the Chairperson.

During discussion, the Building Control Manager confirmed that, should the licence be granted and problems still occur, residents would, in the first instance, be encouraged to contact the licensee, with a view to having those resolved. Should that approach fail, Council officers would facilitate a meeting between both parties. In addition, the premises would be monitored on a regular basis by officers of the Building Control and the Night Time Noise Services and any issues which had been identified over the term of the Entertainments Licence would be brought to the Committee’s attention when it was due for renewal.

After further discussion, the Committee agreed, in its capacity as Licensing Authority, to grant a Seven-Day Annual Entertainments Licence for the Hawthorn Bar, 1–3 Hawthorn Street, with the following conditions, as agreed by the applicant and the objectors, being attached:

(i) the licence will operate for a period of six months;

(ii) entertainment will be restricted to a Thursday, Friday and Saturday, with the hours of operation being 11.30 am to 1.00 am. the following morning;

(iii) a maximum of 40 persons will be permitted in the ground floor bar whilst entertainment is taking place;

(iv) the licensee will attend meetings, as and when required, with the Police Service of Northern Ireland and representative groups drawn from local residents, with those to be chaired by Council officers;

(v) the licensee will proactively clean around the perimeter of the premises;

(vi) the licensee will ensure that bottles and glasses are not disposed of by staff at closing times;

(vii) the licensee will provide residents with a direct point of contact and the contact number of the person responsible for managing door staff;

(viii) the licensee will erect and maintain signage inside the premises and in the rear external area requesting patrons to respect local residents and keep noise levels to a minimum;

(ix) the licensee will ensure compliance with the requirements of the Noise Report, to the satisfaction of the Council, and the Entertainments Licence will be issued only when all technical matters have been addressed; and
(x) taxi notices will be kept and maintained on the premises and will warn patrons and taxi firms that undue noise or disturbance will not be permitted and that the licensee shall take such action, including legal action, if necessary, to enforce that requirement.

**Update on an Appeal against the Refusal of an Amusement Permit - Players, 22-23 Shaftesbury Square**

The Building Control Manager reminded the Committee that, at its meeting on 21st September, 2016, it had agreed to refuse an application by KB Shaft Limited for the grant of an Amusement Permit in respect of Players, 22 – 23 Shaftesbury Square. That decision had been taken on the grounds that the application had failed to comply with two of the five criteria set out within the Council’s Amusement Permit Policy in terms of (i) the cumulative build-up of amusement arcades in a particular location and (ii) the impact of the arcade upon the image and profile of Belfast.

He explained that, following that decision, a Notice of Appeal had been lodged on behalf of the applicant with the County Court and that the appeal had, on 5th January, been brought before Judge Devlin to allow for papers to be served upon the relevant parties. Judge Devlin had agreed to list the case for review on 16th January, to allow sufficient time for an application by Oasis Retail Services Limited for a judicial review of the Committee’s decisions of 19th March and 6th October, 2014, to grant Amusements Permits for Mavericks, 24 – 28 Bradbury Place and Onassis Amusements, 25 – 41 Botanic Avenue, respectively, to be determined.

The Building Control Manager reminded the Committee that the judge who had heard the application by Oasis Retail Services Limited had, on 17th January, ruled in favour of the Council. Following that ruling, KB Shaft Limited’s legal representative had confirmed that their client would be withdrawing their appeal against the Committee’s decision to refuse the application for the grant of an Amusement Permit for its premises in Shaftesbury Square and that it would meet the Council’s legal costs.

The Committee noted the information which had been provided.

**Non-Delegated Matters**

**Proposed Extension of Sunday Trading Hours**

The Committee was reminded that the Strategic Policy and Resources Committee, at its meeting on 21st October, 2016, had granted approval for the Council to consult, by way of a public notice, on designating the entire area of Belfast City Council or specific parts thereof as a ‘holiday resort’ under Article 6 of the Shops (Sunday Trading &c.) (Northern Ireland) Order 1997.

The Building Control Manager reported that the effect of that designation would be to extend the Sunday trading hours for large shops, of 280 m² or more, on up to 18 Sundays, other than on Easter Sunday, between 1st March and 30th September in any calendar year. The proposal had been made in response to calls, primarily by the Belfast Chamber of Trade and Commerce, to improve the tourism offering in Belfast,
particularly on a Sunday morning, and to boost the local economy and support the overall regeneration of the City centre.

He informed the Members that, before the Council could pass a resolution designating its area as a ‘holiday resort’, it was required to consult with those persons who would be most likely to be affected and to publish notice of any designation in such a manner as it deemed appropriate. That period of consultation would end on 5th May. Any occupier or proposed occupier of a large shop wishing to avail of the additional Sunday trading hours would be required to notify the Council of the dates involved. The new trading arrangements would not take effect until fourteen days from the date on which the notification had been provided, unless the Council agreed that they should come into effect earlier.

He pointed out that, should a resolution be passed, the Council would, in addition to performing its current enforcement role around Sunday trading, maintain a register of those large shops which were making use of the additional Sunday trading hours, which would be made available to the public. It would work also with key partners, such as the Belfast Chamber of Trade and Commerce and Visit Belfast, to ensure the effective promotion of the increased trading hours and to consider the impact upon the City, in terms of attracting additional tourists.

After discussion, the Committee noted the information which had been provided.

**Update on the Recovery of Court Costs**

The Council’s Solicitor submitted for the Committee’s consideration the following report:

```
1.0 Purpose of Report/Summary of Main Issues

1.1 Members will recall that the issue of recovery of legal costs for prosecutions issued pursuant to the Local Government (Miscellaneous Provisions) (NI) Order 1985 has been raised on a number of occasions and, most recently, at your meeting on 18th January, whilst considering a report on Entertainments Licences where an applicant had been convicted of an offence.

1.2 The purpose of this report is to explain how the level of costs is established and agreed against the various types and quantities of cases that Legal Services deal with on a weekly basis in Belfast Magistrates’ Court.

1.3 The report will also provide a basis to advise the Committee what the Council is seeking to do in order to try and address Members’ concerns.
```
2.0 **Recommendation**

2.1 The Committee is requested to consider the content of the report and the key issues within.

3.0 **Main Report**

**Key Issues**

3.1 The recovery of legal costs is governed by the Magistrates’ Courts (Costs in Criminal Cases) Rules (NI) 1988 (‘the 1988 Rules’). Schedule 1 of the 1988 Rules provided that the maximum fees for solicitor and counsel was capped at £75, however, if the court thinks that, due to exceptional length, difficulty or complexity the maxima are insufficient, it may make a special order for greater sums, stating the reasons for doing so.

3.2 Schedule 1 was amended on 1st January 2013, by the Magistrates’ Courts (Costs in Criminal Cases) (Amendment) Rules (Northern Ireland) 2012, to remove the upper limits set by Schedule 1 of the 1988 Rules. Schedule 2 details the amounts payable in connection with the attendance of witnesses, travel costs, loss of earnings, other costs and expert witness fees.

3.3 In the case of Re Caffrey’s Application (2000) NI 17, it was established that a prosecuting body can only recover costs in relation to the prosecution of the case and not investigation costs. It was held that the prosecutor should serve, in advance of the hearing, a full statement detailing the legal costs and expenses, and the defendant can give proper notice of his intention to dispute any part of the costs. The prosecutor must specify what costs are claimed as legal fees under Schedule 1 and what relate to professional and expert witness expenses under Schedule 2 (the latter being subject to an absolute ceiling) so that the Judge can assess costs in relation to legal fees, professional and expert witnesses and ordinary witnesses, and then impose such amount for costs against the defendant as is proper.

3.4 In terms of application for costs in court, the established custom and practice has been that the Council is awarded £50 in each case as a contribution towards legal costs, in addition to any court fees, for example, the fee for a process server to serve the summons.
3.5 On average, Legal Services can prosecute up to 30 cases each week in the Magistrates’ Court. The majority of those cases are relatively straightforward, although there are a number of cases which are technically complex. The number of complex cases can vary widely, but typically those which Legal Services spend more time preparing are those relating to breaches of Entertainments Licences, Health and Safety, Food Safety, illegal evictions, and breaches of the Building Regulations.

3.6 The Divisional Solicitor has agreed to undertake a comprehensive review of this matter in conjunction with the Assistant Director of City and Neighbourhood Services Department and the Director of Planning and Place, to determine the appropriate standard fee for prosecutions. In the interim, Legal Services will apply for increased legal fees in respect of any technically complex or time consuming prosecutions where it is considered appropriate to do so.

Financial and Resource Implications

3.7 There are no financial and resources issues associated with this report.

Equality or Good Relations Implications

3.8 There are no equality or good relations issues associated with this report.”

The Committee noted the information which had been provided.

Chairperson